1 P5

C.F. 71.

1936

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Board of Revenue

STATION Madras

Rc. No. 573	2150/36.
Dated 25-2-36	29 FEB 1936

Pagès in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Advances - Loans - (Bellary). Hadagalli Taluk. Kuchkal village. Sembada Bodalkirappa. Amount - Writing off. Sanctioned.

From

T.Bhaskara Rao Esq., I.C.S.,

Collector of

Bellary.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Board of Revenue,

M a d r a s.

Ref.c.2904/35. d.8.1.1936.

Sir,

Advances - loans - Hadgalli taluk - Kotihal village - Sunkada Dodda virappa - arrears write off - recommended.

A loan of Rs.500/- was advanced to S.Dodda Veerappa of Kotihalu village, Hadagalli taluk in A.G.L.O. 183/32 on the security of S.NO.221 E, H and I measuring 37.82 acres in all. The borrower regularly paid the instalments till F.1340, but defaulted in F.1341. The Deputy Tahsildar Hadagalli ordered the summary recovery of the outstanding balance. During re-settlement in ~~1923~~ 1923 new subdivisions were formed, and all the 3 fields hypothecated for the loan, were denoted as s.no.221 B measuring ac.29.94. A portion of the original extent of Ac.7.88 included in subdivisions E,H & I was included in s.no.221 A, which belonged to the defaulte s uncle Doddappa. The re-settlement x subdivision was made according to the actual enjoyment on ground. The Tahsildar Mr.Bahuddin Sahib(now dead) who personally conducted the loans enquiry in 1923, accepted the subdivisions E,H & I(old) as security for the loan, without knowing whether they were actually in the enjoyment of the borrower or not. If he had inspected the lands he should have noticed the actual enjoyment on ground. The ~~xxxx~~ borrower too failed to point out the actual enjoyment at that time and to inform the Tahsildar of the enquiry at re-settlement held in 1922, and the consequent changes given effect to in 1925. The village officers had no

P.T.O.

knowledge of the resettlement enquiries:

Kp 113-1167 (C)

2. To realise the arrears, s.no.221 B was brought to sale, and it fetched a sum of Rs.45/- only. This amount was adjusted towards the Loans arrears. There is still a sum of Rs.149-15-3 outstanding towards principal, and the defaulter has not property to be proceeded against. The loan enquiry was very perfunctary. The Tahsildar who granted the loan is dead. The loans record is enclosed. I recommend that Rs.149-15-3 now to be written off the accounts.

N.N.R.S.I. 19/1

For Collector.

C. S. (Secy).

9

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initia's.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined ..	24/1/36	
When signed ..	25/1	
When despatched.	DESPATCHED 25 JAN 1936	

SUBJECT :— Advances Loans (Bellary).

Hadgalli Tk. Kotikal village Sunkada Dodda Virappa writing off. Sanction ..

READ AGAIN ____, dated ____ 19

,, ____ ,, ____ 19

READ *ALSO* :—

No. ____ From the Pt 150/35 , No. ____ , dated ____ 19

,, ____ ,, ____ ,, ____ ,, ____ 19

,, ____ ,, ____ 19

Order / Ms. Resolution / Rout. No. ____ , dated Ref F 150.35. dt 20 . 1 . 1936

The Collr is requested to submit the Sale records relating to the loan case in question & also to furnish the date of sale at which the loan amount was advanced to the borrower.

dw 17.1.36. 19/1/36

To the Collr of Bellary.

(Sd) W.R. 27/1

C.F. 32-(a)

(By Registered Post)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

Received 5 *Registered* RP 121

From (Name) T.Bhaskara Rao Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of

Post Box....., (Station) Bellary.

Telegraphic Address.....

BOARD OF REVENUE 6-FEB 1936 MADRAS

To The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Board of Revenue, M A D R A S.

Ref.c 2904/35 3-2-36

No......, *dated*..... 19

Sir,

Advances - loans - Hadagalli taluk - Kotehalu village - Sunkada Dodda Virappa - arrears - writing off - sanction.

Ref:- Board's F.NO.150/35-1 d.20-1-36.

Enclosures One file(pages one to two hundred and eighteen)

Spare Copies.....

The rate of interest at which the loan was advanced to the borrower was 7½ %. The sale records are enclosed. (pages 1 to 218).

N.N.R.4.2. For Collector.

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying		
When copied	24/2/36	
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT :— Advances Loans. (Bellary). Hadagalli Taluk. Kotihal vge. Seenkada Doddavirappa Arrears. Writing off. Sanctioned

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO* :—

No. _____ From the F 150/36. P.W.C. 9/A, No. _____, dated _____ 19____.

" _____ " Ref F 150/36-1 dt 20-1-36. " _____ 19____.

" _____ " F 514/36. P.W.C. " _____ 19____.

~~Order~~ / Resolution ~~Rout.~~ Ms. No. 673, dated 25. 2. 1936.

In the circumstances reported by the Collector the Board sanctions the writing off as irrecoverable of the sum of Rs 149-15-3 (Rupees one hundred and forty nine and annas fifteen & pies three only) being the unpaid balance of the loan granted in A.Dis. No 183/3 at 7½ per cent interest to one Seenkada Doddavirappa of Kotihal village Hadagalli Taluk

Sd/- 25/2/36

Sd/- 24/2

Sd/- 25/2

To the Collr of Bellary with records returned herewith.

To Audr Acc.

X (1) Loan Record 1-20 pages

(2) Sale Record 1-21 pages.

MB.
TS
A.S (Genl)

Note file

Advances. Loans. Agl. Act. (Bellary). Hadagalli Taluk. Kotihal village. Sankada Doddavirappa. arrears. writing off. Sanction.

F 150/36 fgs 1-2 cf.

Ref F 150-36-1 dy 20-1-36 fgs cf

F 514/36 fgs 5-6 cf

To A.S

Please see the letter from the Collector of Bellary at fgs 1-2 cf recommending the writing off as irrecoverable of a sum of Rs 149-15-3 outstanding against the loan of Rs 500/- granted to one Sankada Doddavirappa under the Agl. Act.

2. Please see ~~the letter at fgs 1-2 cf~~ and the correspondence at fgs 113-119 of the file at (C) and the last para at p. 200 thereof. The question of proceeding against the extent of 7-8 as. deficit according to merit was considered & dropped. The Tahsildar who accepted his sub-divisions as security for the loan without verifying whether they were challenged in the enjoyment of the borrower or not is reported to be dead and the Reddi who was in office is also dead. It was considered to be not necessary to forfeit the initial deposit of Rs 7-8-0 paid by the previous purchaser who failes to pay up the full amount ~~was forfeited to Govt~~. In the circumstances reported by the Collector Board may

p 93 of (C)

Sanction for writing off of the amount in question as irrecoverable.

DD 13.2.36

WA 14/2

15/2/36

J.S.

Bring file 17.2

J.S. AS (left)

With reference to the oral instructions of J.S. the following note is submitted.

The CCR bases his opinion that the VCO had no knowledge on the settlement enquiries apparently on the report of the Deputy at pp. 191-194 of (C). The reduction of 7.88 acres in S. No. 221A is reported to have been done on the petition of the borrower's uncle and with the consent of the borrower. The Deputy further adds that 'the VCO does not seem to have been enquired before the sub-divisions were ordered'. Though their signatures are found in Col 7 of the Changes Register, in the absence of any note under their signature it was presumed that the sub-divisions were ordered after the signatures of the VCO were taken in the Changes register & that they were

A - 4 of 1934 (C)

The remarks of the VCO (No Change - B) implies this. 16

not responsible for the fraudulent registry.

It is on the one side contended by Mr. Neal though the petition of the borrower is made for sub-division & the sub-division records did not go through the VOs. The VOs were actually unaware of the sub-divisions created in the village by the Spl. Staff preceding the resettlement which apparently carried out the subdivisions. On the other hand there is also the possibility that, as the work of carrying out enjoyment sub-divisions was carried out by a special staff, the VOs were unaware of the sub-divisions created at the time. Perhaps the Ct. may be asked to state how he will substantiate the statement that the VOs were unaware of the sub-divisions in question being created & if there is no evidence to substantiate the statement why the VOs concerned should not be dealt with departmentally for their failure to bring to notice the fact that the land sub-divided was not charged to Govt. It is submitted that the Reddi who was in office is dead. The Karnam alone is alive.

Very probable, I think. The 22.4
I can say from my experience of Settlement work that this is quite possible. The VOs are not informed of all the sub-divisions proposed by the Settlement staff. The 19/2

The Kannada typing at pp. 141-42 of C. Pet is a translation of it as it is in Kannada.

p 1140 (C)

A. S. (Sdr.)

18.2.36

With reference to the orders of the

To put up a translation of the statement at pp 141-142 of (C) it is submitted that there is none in our office who can translate it. It is said that it is a Combination of Telugu and Kannada & so cannot be translated. If necessary, the Collr may be asked to submit a translation of the statement ~~of facts~~ in.

Dy
20.2.36.
WB
20.2.36

The Kaimani's stg. has been recorded. Both it & in Tamil & Kannada. If it is intended that any responsibility is to be fixed on the Kannada translation of his stg. appears to be necessary and the Collr. may be requested to send it. If not orders may be passed on the note

VR 20/2/36

J. S.

The amount outstanding may be written off.

Dy
22.2

M 3 4/2
VR
22.2

A.S. (Secy.)

To AS

Draft submitted.

VR
25/2/36

AS
24.2.36.
WB
24/2